

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMINGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/836,998	04/18/2001	Fumio Takao	01244/LH	7639	
1933 7590 01/08/2003 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			EXAMINER		
767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR			GONZALEZ, JULIO C		
NEW YORK, I	NY 10017-2023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M /			
·	Application No	o. •	Applicant(s)				
•	09/836,998		TAKAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Julio C. Gonza		2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	iaress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22</u>	October 2002 .						
,	his action is nor	ı-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-7 is/are pending in the app		loration					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consid	leration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	las alastian some	iromont					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requ	ilement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 April 2001</u> is/are: a		o) objected to by	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to				).			
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>23 August 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been r	eceived.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been r	eceived in Applica	tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)			(DTO 445) T	No (a)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notes	5	Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper I Patent Application (				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/836,998

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, what is meant by "free end portion"? Respectfully, is the free end portion the conductive member 3 as shown in figure 2B?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ueno et al (Patent # 5,866,196) in view of Schuh et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,998

Art Unit: 2834

Ueno et al discloses a multiplayer piezoelectric device having internal electrodes 2, external electrodes 3 which are connected to the internal electrodes. A pair of conductive member 4 connected to the external electrodes 3, a pair of side surfaces 7 and the internal electrodes have an end face which is flush with one of the side surface (see figure 13).

However Ueno et al does not disclose a first and second conductive members having a free end portion.

On the other hand, Schuh et al discloses for the purpose of making an actuator that has increased stability with respect to a tear formation, a multiplayer piezoelectric actuator having internal electrodes E2, external electrodes E1, conductive member MS1 and MS2 and the conductive members having a free end portion KF1 (see figures 1, 5, 9).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a piezoelectric device as disclosed by Ueno et al and to modify the invention by using a free end portion for the purpose of making an actuator that has increased stability with respect to a tear formation as disclosed by Schuh et al.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/836,998

Art Unit: 2834

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno et al and Schuh et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Unami (Patent # 5,932,951).

The combined actuator discloses all of the elements above. However, the combined actuator does not disclose that the internal electrodes have insulation.

On the other hand, Unami discloses for the purpose of minimizing design and manufacturing of piezoelectric resonators thus enabling the piezo resonator in narrow frequency bands that the internal electrodes 14 have insulation 18 (see figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined actuator as disclosed above and to modify the invention by having the internal electrodes with insulation for the purpose of minimizing design and manufacturing of piezoelectric resonators thus enabling the piezo resonator in narrow frequency bands as disclosed by Unami.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 305-1563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

NESTOR RAMIREZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Jcg

December 30, 2002